

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,457	05/04/2001	Chen Lai Cheng	JCLA6623	8348
759	05/28/2004		EXAM	INER
J.C. Patents, In J C Venture	c.		DUONG, KHANH B	
Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA 926	518		2822 DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

IG ET AL.	
nit	_
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 27 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Cor Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	itinued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is lat event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MF 706.07(f).	EP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as a shortened. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	fee under
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	-X-
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) Lighthey raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplification issues for appeal; and/or	ying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	•
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed ame canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT planapplication in condition for allowance because:	ce the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
Explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	1
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	-00
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	·
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
. Other:	
ranger and the company of the compa	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: According to the amendment, it appears that Applicant had unnecessarily joined together various different embodiments of the instant invention. This raises a number of new issues. The new issues being raised as depending on claim 14 are: claim 26, line 5 to 6, "the active gas-moisture absorption layer ... covering the metal cathode layer"; claim 27, line 5, "forming a sealant layer ... covering the metal cathode layer"; and claim 30, line 9, "two frit lines on the covering layer ... wherein a clearance between the region". Furthemore, claims 24 and 27 comprise duplicate subject matter of claim 14 in reference to forming "a recess

Michael Trinh Primary Examiner